Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 140 (1977) 297-307 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

# THE MOLECULAR STRUCTURES OF $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ , $H(SC_2H_5)Os_3(CO)_{10}$ AND $(OCH_3)_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$

# VALERIE F. ALLEN, RONALD MASON \* and (in part) PETER B. HITCHCOCK

Department of Chemistry, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7HF and School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton (Great Britain)

(Received May 11th, 1977)

# Summary

X-ray crystallographic analyses of  $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ ,  $H(SC_2H_5)Os_3(CO)_{10}$  and  $(OCH_3)_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  are reported. Although hydrogen atom positions have not been located, the essential isostructural nature of the three complexes establishes the hydride ligands as bridging two metal atoms, separated by 2.670 Å, with a formal bond order of two; the bridging hydrido- and thiolato-ligands span an osmium—osmium bond of length 2.863 Å and formal bond order one; the two  $\mu$ -methoxy ligands bridge two metal atoms separated by 3.078 Å which, by simple 18 electron rule counting, has a metal—metal bond order of zero. Some general comments are made on the structures of polynuclear transition metal carbonyls.

### Introduction

There is much current interest in the chemistry of polynuclear carbonyls and, in particular, in the triangular clusters,  $M_3(CO)_{12}$  (M = Ru, Os). The latter show a variety of important oxidative addition and other reactions which may have some similarities to the events which accompany sorption of unsaturated ligands at transition metal surfaces [1,2]. Our interest in the bonding in clusters coincided with the synthesis, by Lewis and his colleagues, of a variety of complexes such as  $Os_3(CO)_{12}Cl_2$ ,  $Os_3(CO)_{10}Cl_2$  and, from the mild reaction of dihydrogen with  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$ , the 'electron deficient'  $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  [1]. The stereochemical consequences, on the metal—metal bonding, of changing the electronic properties of the ligands in the series  $L_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  (L = H, OCH<sub>3</sub>,  $SC_2H_5$ ) is obviously of interest in quantifying aspects of the multicentre bonding in low-nuclearity cluster complexes; these are now reported in detail, an earlier summary having appeared some time ago [3]. Experimental

Crystal Data

(i)  $Os_3(CO)_{10}H_2$ , Mol. wt. 852.7, Triclinic a = 8.59(2), b = 11.85(3), c = 9.19(2) Å,  $a = 99.8(1)^0$ ,  $\beta = 118.6(1)^0$ ,  $\gamma = 81.0(1)^0$ , U = 806.9 Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2,  $D_c = 3.51$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 740. Space group P1 ( $C_i^i$  No. 1), or  $P\overline{1}(C_i^i$ , No. 2). Mo- $K_\alpha$  radiation,  $\mu = 250.2$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

(ii)  $[Os_3(CO)_{10}HSC_2H_5]$ ,  $Os_3C_{12}O_{10}SH_6$ , Mol. wt. 912.8, Orthorhombic, a = 17.34(3), b = 12.73(3), c = 17.42(3) Å, U = 3845 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 8,  $D_c = 3.15$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 3216. Space group *Pbca* ( $D_{2h}^{15}$ , No. 61), Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation,  $\mu = 250.2$ cm<sup>-1</sup>. The crystals are isomorphous with those of HSC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Ru<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>.

(iii)  $[Os_3(CO)_{10}(OCH_3)_2] Os_3C_{12}O_{12}H_6$ . Mol. wt. 912.8, Monoclinic a = 12.44-(3), b = 9.01(2), c = 17.19(3) Å,  $\beta = 98.3(1)^0$ , U = 1921 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_c = 3.18$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, F(000) = 1608. Space group  $P2_i/c$  ( $C_2^5 h$ , No. 14), Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation,  $\mu = 211.7$  cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# Determination and refinement of the crystal structures

Integrated X-ray reflexion intensities for the dihydrido- and monohydridocomplexes were obtained on a PAILRED diffractometer (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ ; graphite monochromator) operating in a 'w' scan regime. Scan ranges varied linearly from layer to layer; all reflexions where separate background intensities differed significantly ( $\geq 3\sigma$ ) were discarded and only those with  $F_0^2/\sigma(F_0^2) \geq 3.0$  were used for the structures' solutions and refinements. Absorption corrections were made, carrying out the integration by appropriate Gaussian quadrature. Intensities were estimated visually for the bis-methoxy complex, from Weissenberg and procession photographs (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ ); of the total data measured (2336), final refinement was based on the 1783 data estimated from precession photographs for which accurate absorption corrections could be applied.

Structures were solved via vector analysis of heavy atom positions and subsequent difference Fourier syntheses. Block diagonal least squares methods only were used (these analyses were completed in 1969!) and convergence was to  $R_1 = 0.048$  (2440 independent reflexions) for H<sub>2</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>, to  $R_1 = 0.055$  (1270 independent reflexions) for HSC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> and to  $R_1 = 0.084$  (1783 independent reflexions) for (OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>. Atomic scattering factors used were those listed in the International Tables for X-ray Crystallography for the uncharged atoms. The Os scattering factors were corrected for the real component of anomalous dispersion. Tables of calculated and observed structure factors can be obtained from the authors (RM).

### Discussion

Atomic positional and vibrational parameters are listed in Tables 1, 2, and 3, intramolecular bond lengths and bond angles are summarised in Tables 4 and 5. Atom labelling is depicted in Fig. 1, 2 and 3. which illustrate the general molecular stereochemistries.

There are two main features of the observed molecular geometries which deserve comment: the variation of essentially only one metal—metal interatomic distance with ligand changes and the implication that this feature has for discussions of bonding in cluster complexes in general and bridged metal—metal

298

(continued on p. 302)

TABLE 1 W.O....Control a tromin coole diverse and

112013(CO)10: ATOMIC COORDINATES AND VIBRATION PARAMETES (e.s.d.'s in parentheses)

Atom	x/a	y/b	2 / C	11q	b12	b33	b23	r1q	b12
01(1)	-0.20340(10)	0,28827(6)	0,18593(9)	0.01087(12)	0,00396(5)	0.00712(9)	-0,00079(10)	0.00878(17)	-0.00108(12)
09(2)	0.14895(10)	0,20276(6)	0,26458(9)	0.01081(13)	0,00465(5)	0.00766(9)	-0,00082(11)	0.00823(18)	-0.00076(13)
04(3)	-0.07279(11)	0,24898(6)	-0,04716(8)	0.01414(14)	0,00,100(5)	0.00689(9)	-0.00058(10)	0.01097(18)	-0.00182(13)
C(1)	-0,4450(29)	0,3452(18)	0.0433(26)	0.0151(41)	0.0054(15)	0.0114(32)	-0.0024(35)	0.0018(57)	0.0023(39)
C(2)	-0.2255(30)	0,2967(19)	0.3854(28)	0.0170(44)	0.0066(17)	0.0120(32)	-0'0002(36)	0.0120(02)	-0.0058(42)
C(3)	-0.1114(30)	0.4343(16)	0.2456(27)	0.0189(44)	0.0047(14)	0.0171(37)	-0,0065(36)	0.0291(70)	-0.0044(38)
C(4)	-0.2514(31)	0.1284(20)	0,1185(28)	0.0164(44)	0,0084(19)	0.0152(37)	0,0017(42)	0.0209(67)	
C(8)	0.3692(30)	0.1431(21)	0,2688(26)	0.0137(41)	0,0095(21)	0,0106(32)	-0.0003(41)	0.0038(58)	-0.0071 (46)
C(6)	0.1439(29)	0.0925(20)	0.3887(24)	0.0139(40)	0,0098(21)	0.0089(28)	0.0029(37)	0.0133(55)	-0.0022(44)
C(1)	0.2581 (37)	0,3021(32)	0.4617(32)	0.0157(52)	0.0187(40)	0.0115(39)	- 0,0039(62)	013(70)	0.0097(71)
C(8)	0.0601 (34)	0.2162(19)	-0.1694/26)	0.0234(53)	0.0070(17)	0.0113(32)	- 0,0014(37)	0.0213(68)	- 0.0058(47)
C(0)	-0.2764(38)	0.1803(20)	0,2146(27)	0.0273(60)	0.0063(17)	0.0116(33)	-0.0022(38)	0.0176(73)	- 0,0041 (49)
C(10)	-0.1766(32)	0.3907(18)	- 0.1280(26)	0.0228(50)	0.0076(17)	0.0135(34)	-0.0023(38)	0.0322(72)	0.0066(46)
(1)0	-0.5803(23)	0.3785(18)	-0.0420(26)	0.0117(31)	0.0125(20)	0.0234(40)	-0.0001(45)	0.0095(56)	0,0071 (39)
0(2)	-0.2467(30)	0.2986(19)	0.4974(20)	0.0326(54)	0.0159(24)	0.0095(25)	-0.0014(38)	(09)9910'0	-0.0143(55)
0(3)	-0.0419(26)	0.5200(13)	0.2851(24)	0.0255(42)	0.0054(12)	0.0250(39)	-0.0043(34)	0.0258(66)	-0.0085(35)
0(4)	-0.2734(29)	0.0314(14)	0.0842(25)	0.0317(50)	0.0059(13)	0.0251(40)	-0.0064(35)	0.0308(73)	-0.0106(39)
0(8)	0.5059(25)	0.1046(17)	0.2728(25)	0.0189(38)	0.0121(19)	0.0251(40)	-0.0023(43)	0.0298(65)	-0.0007(41)
0(8)	0.1438(31)	0.0259(20)	0,4610(26)	0.0315(54)	0.0144(23)	0.0214(39)	0.0139(48)	0.0327(76)	0.0040(54)
0(1)	0.3223(29)	0.3705(16)	0.5750(21)	0.0317(51)	0.0096(17)	0.0123(28)	- 0.0140(51)	0.0015(59)	-0.0024(45)
(8) 0	0.1435(27)	0.1968(16)	-0.2416(24)	0.0261 (46)	0.0108(17)	0.0234(37)	-0.0020(35)	0.0385(70)	-0.0037(42)
(ß)O	-0.3993(26)	0.1362(17)	-0,3101(21)	0.0214(40)	0.0126(20)	0,0123(27)	-0.0037(36)	0.0036(52)	-0.0091(43)
0(10)	-0.2547(32)	0.4746(14)	-0.1842(26)	0.0412(61)	0,0060(14)	0.0258(41)	0.0131(38)	0.0398(84)	0.0096(44)

State and states of the state state of the s

SARAA ARAA AMAA A

......

The atom temperature factors take the form:  $T = \exp - (b_{11}h^2 + b_{22}h^2 + b_{33}t^2 + b_{23}k! + b_{31}h! + b_{12}hk)$ 

-	nami markanan an ang sa katan muni katan na matangan ang katang sa kangan ang sa katan muni katang na matan sa	b23 b23 b13
co.	THE DESIGN AND ADDRESS OF A DRESS	022
PARAMETER:	an an amagina an anna an an an an	011
<b>IBRATIONAL</b>	ana a an a	B (Å <sup>2</sup> )
DINATES AND V	and the second se	2 10
O' ATOM COOR		2/b
1(00)6sO(2		x/a

TABLE	24						-			
H(SC <sub>2</sub> H	[\$ '01(00)680(81	rom coordina	TES AND VIBR	ATIONAL PA	RAMETERS				-	
Atom	x/a	y/b	z /c	B (Å <sup>2</sup> )	11q	b22	b23	b23	613	b12
(1)00	0.17049(10)	0.30565(13)	0,19848(11)		0,00227(1)	0,00465(2)	0,00285(1)	0.00218(0)	-0,00112(2)	-0,00076(2)
0.63	0,00803(8)	0.27026(12)	0.19877(9)		0.00213(1)	0,00367(1)	0,00187(1)	0,00039(0)	0,00019(1)	-0.00030(2)
3(1)	0.0162(5)	0.3652(8)	0,0791(6)		0,00109(6)	0.00427(10)	0,00294(6)	0,00211(0)	-0.00026(10)	-0,00038(13)
(1))	0.1850(29)	0.3554(41)	0,2989(34)	6.90(19)						
C(0)	0.2717(31)	0.2999(44)	0.1662(34)	6,32(20)						
C(3)	0.1620(24)	0.4443(32)	0.1666(25)	3.43(13)						
(†) (†)	0.1769(23)	0.1724(30)	0,2350(24)	3.12(12)						
C(8) ::	0.0385(32)	0.1578(45)	-0,0129(35)	6,46(22)						
C(6)	0.1663(30)	0.0871(41)	0,0814(32)	5.56(19)						
C(1)	0.1674(27)	0.2822(38)	0,0011(27)	4.63(15)	•					
C(8)	-0.0963(23)	0.2352(33)	0,1931(26)	4.22(14)						
C(0)	0.0210(22)	0.1881(32)	0,2880(25)	3.71(1.4)					• •	
C(10)	-0.0099(24)	0.3924(32)	0.2579(20)	3.53(13)						
C(11)	-0.0774(24)	0.3453(34)	0.0260(26)	3.86(15)						
C(12)	-0.1392(25)	0.4285(35)	0,0563(28)	4.14(15)						
00	0.1881(21)	0.3950(31)	0,3580(26)	7.10(16)						
0(2)	0.3337(23)	0.2985(32)	0.1387(25)	7.69(15)		-				
0(3)	0.1432(19)	0.5321(26)	0,1458(21)	<b>5.52(13)</b>						
( <b>9</b> )	0.1892(18)	0.0815(26)	0.2527(21)	6.26(12)						
0(5)	0.0015(26)	0.1186(38)	-0.0604(30)	9.56(19)						
0(8)	0.1927(23)	0.0170(32)	0.0737(27)	7.68(16)						
0(1)	0.2196(19)	0.3232(27)	-0.0327(22)	6.04(13)						
0(8)	-0.1553(19)	0.1975(26)	0,1841(21)	5,92(12)						
(8)	0.0333(17)	0.1387(24)	0.3462(19)	4.79(11)						-
010	-0.0296(22)	0.4666(29)	0.2984(25)	6.82(15)						

I

----

-----

÷

...... 

TABLE 3

.

Atom	×/a	y/b	z /c	B (Å <sup>2</sup> )	11q	b22	(C q	6 23	¢13	p13
01()	0.18598(16)	0.24562(17)	0.21952(11)		0,00563(2)	0,01080(3)	0.00370(1)	-0.00006(0)	0.00524(5)	0.00086(4)
Oa(2)	0.28404(16)	0.33993(16)	0,09037(10)		0.00673(2)	0.00997(2)	0,00346(1)	0)01000'0	0,00392(5)	-0.00212(4)
Os(3)	0.26641(16)	0.01119(16)	0,13547(11)		0.00695(2)	0.00968(2)	0.00376(1)	-0'00018(0)	0.00490(5)	-0.00031(4)
C(1)	0.1396(40)	0.1268(47)	0.2988(27)	5,2(2)						
C(2)	0.1560(48)	0.4409(58)	0.2506(33)	7.2(2)						
C(3)	0.0550(40)	0.2326(46)	0,1474(26)	5.0(2)						
C(4)	0.3267(31)	0.2554(32)	0.2755(19)	2.7(1)						•
C(5)	0.3495(37)	0.3987(44)	0.0017(25)	4.7(1)						
C(8)	0.8612(33)	0,4819(38)	0,1523(22)	3.7(1)						
C(1)	0.1686(44)	0.4829(53)	0,0690(30)	5,2(2)						
C(8)	0.3235(43)	-0.1296(52)	0.0708(29)	6.0(2)						
C(0)	0.3276(43)	-0.0735(52)	0.2278(29)	6.0(2)						
C(10)	0.1446(38)	-0,0839(46)	0.1505(25)	4.9(2)						
C(11)	0.2080(43)	0.1076(53)	-0.0381(30)	6.1(2)						
C(12)	0.4782(46)	0,1314(55)	0.0957(31)	6.6(2)						
(1)0	0.1097(31)	0.0451(38)	0.3405(21)	7.3(1)						
0(3)	0.1312(35)	0.5596(43)	0.2675(24)	8.8(2)	•					
0(3)	-0.0195(32)	0.2171(37)	0.0988(21)	7.1(1)						
( <del>1</del> )0	0.41.34(28)	0.2889(32)	0,3080(18)	5,5(1)						
( <u>8</u> )0	0.3918(31)	0.4329(37)	-0.0530(21)	7.0(1)						
(9)O	0.3963(29)	0.5710(35)	0.1971(19)	6.4(1)				-		
(L)0	0.1019(29)	0.5591(34)	0.0476(20)	6.4(1)		•				
0(8)	0.3552(33)	-0.2317(40)	0.0392(22)	7.7(2)			÷			
(11)0	0.3693(30)	-0.1227(36)	0.2882(20)	6.8(1)						
0(10)	0.0549(29)	-0.1523(35)	0.1449(20)	6.5(1)						
(11)0	0.1993(26)	0.1507(31)	0.0462(18)	5.4(1)						
0(12)	0.3907(22)	O 1880/06V								

-----

1 

------

4

-

÷

302	
TABLE 4	

Atoms	Os3(CO)10H2	Os <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>10</sub> SC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> H	Os3(CO)10(OCH3)2
Os1-Os2	2.813(1)	2.856(2)	2.815(3)
Os1-Os3	2.804(1)	2.842(2)	2.823(3)
Os2-Os3	2.670(1)	2.863(2)	3.078(3)
Os1-C1	1.94(2)	1.88(5)	1.89(5)
Os1-C2	1.92(2)	1.84(5)	1.89(6)
Os1-C3	1.90(2)	1.88(4)	1.90(5)
Os1-C4	1.93(3)	1.81(4)	1.86(3)
Os <sub>2</sub> —C <sub>5</sub>	1.90(2)	1.88(6)	1.90(4)
Os2-C6	1.89(2)	1.93(5)	1.84(4)
Os2-C7	1.88(3)	1.86(5)	1.92(5)
Os3-C8	1.91(3)	1.86(4)	1.89(5)
0s3-C9	1.87(3)	1.89(4)	1.82(5)
Os3-C10	1.87(2)	1.89(4)	1.79(4)
C1-01	1.10(3)	1.15(7)	1.13(6)
$C_2 - O_2$	1.12(3)	1.18(7)	1.16(7)
C3O3	1.17(3)	1.18(5)	1.16(6)
C4-04	1.16(3)	1.22(5)	1.16(5)
C5-O5	1.18(3)	1.16(7)	1.18(6)
C6-O6	1.12(3)	1.10(7)	1.15(5)
C07	1.16(4)	1.20(6)	1.10(6)
C8-O8	1.16(3)	1.16(5)	1.17(6)
CgOg	1.14(3)	1.21(5)	1.18(6)
C10-O10	1.16(3)	1.15(6)	1.27(6)
Os2-O11			2.09(3)
Os2-012			2.09(3)
Os3-O11			2.06(3)
Os3-O15			2.10(3)
011-C11			1.52(6)
O <sub>12</sub> C <sub>12</sub>			1.33(6)
Os2-SI		2.39(1)	
0s3–S1		2.41(1)	
SI-CII		1.89(4)	
C11-C12		1.60(6)	

bonds in particular; and the general ligand arrangement around the metal polyhedron and its implications for models which place emphasis on non-valence interligand forces as a major structure-determining feature in low-nuclearity clusters [4].

Although the eighteen-electron rule [3,5] has less general validity in cluster complexes than obtains in mononuclear species, it is obvious that adherence of the present complexes to the rule would predict bond orders of two, one and zero for the Os(2)-Os(3) metal-metal bonds in H<sub>2</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>, HSEtOs<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> and  $(OMe)_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  respectively; the near-linear variation in the bond lengths is anticipated then from the general characteristics of the bond crder-bond length relation [6]. But the Os(2)—Os(3) bond length variations in the series do not unequivocally establish the hydrido-ligands in  $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  as bridging, occupying structurally equivalent positions to the thiolato- and methoxy-bridges in  $HSC_2H_5Os_3(CO)_{10}$  and  $(OCH_3)Os_3(CO)_{10}$ . That they are, in essence, symmetrical bridging hydrides is suggested strongly, however, by two other facts: if the hydride ligands in  $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  were terminal (to atoms Os(2) and Os(3)), one could imagine given the strong *trans*-influence of the hydride ligand, a significant

TABLE 5

053(CO)10L2: INTRAMOLECULAR BOND ANGLES (degrees) AND THEIR STANDARD DEVIATIONS

Atoms	Os3(CO)10H2	Os3(CO)10SC2H3H	Os <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>10</sub> (OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	
Os2-Os1-Os3	56.76(0.03)	60.33(0.06)	66.17(0.07)	
Os1Os2Os3	61.46(0.03)	59.60(0.06)	57.04(0.06)	
Os1-Os3-Os2	61.78 (0.03)	60.07(0.06	56.79(0.06)	
C1-Os1-Os3	99.0(0.07)	100.6(1.6)	96.5(1.4)	
C2-Os1-Os2	106.8(0.7)	99.0(17)	93.9(1.7)	
C1O21C2	97.5(1.0)	99.8(2.3)	103.4(2.2)	
C3-Os1-Os2	84.2(0.7)	93.9(1.2)	86.2(1.4)	
C3-Os1-Os3	86.3(0.7)	88.9(1.2)	87.4(1.4)	
C4Os1Os2	84.1(0.8)	86.0(1.2)	85.1(1.0)	
C4-Os1-Os3	83.5(0.8)	84.9(1.2)	85.8(1.0)	
C1-Os1-C3	94.7(1.0)	88.9(2.0)	96.5(1.0)	
C <sub>1</sub> -Os <sub>1</sub> -C <sub>4</sub>	94.1(1.0)	88.9(2.0)	90.8(1.7)	
C2Os1C3	93.2(1.0)	96.3(2.1)	93.2(2.2)	
C2-Os1-C4	94.5(1.0)	90.7(2.1)	91.0(2.0)	
C5-OS2-OS3	106.6(0.8)	112.8(1.7)	121.6(1.3)	
C5-Os2-C6	96.1(1.0)	93.3(2.3)	91.3(1.8)	
$C_5 - O_{52} - C_7$	93.1(1.3)	94.5(2.2)	93.1(2.0)	
C6-Os2-Os1	91.4(0.7)	90.4(1.6)	90.2(1.2)	
C6-052-053	130.5(0.7)	112.6(1.6)	124.9(1.2)	
Cx	90.2(1.2)	97.6(2.1)	87.6(1.9)	
C	96.3(1.0)	91.6(1.4)	87.5(1.5)	
C+OsOs-3	130.5(1.0)	136.9(1.4)	127.9(1.5)	
Cu-Osa-Osa	107 1(0 8)	115 1(1 3)	116.8(1.5)	
$C_{\nu} = O_{\Sigma_{\nu}} = C_{\nu}$	95 4(1 1)	91 2(1 8)	95.0(2.2)	
Cu-Osy-Cuo	94 5(1 1)	93 5(1.8)	99.3(2.1)	
$C_0 - U_{S_2} - U_{S_3}$	93.6(0.8)	88.7(1.2)	90.1(1.6)	
Co-Oso-Oso	131 7(0.8)	1176(12)	125 9(1 6)	
CoOsaCha	89 2(1 1)	91 5(1 7)	86 0(2 1)	
	92 3(0 7)	92 2(1 2)	85 1(1 4)	
	129 1(0 7)	137.0(1.2)	126 1(1 4)	
	177 3/9 9)	172 1(1 7)	173 1/4 1)	
	176 9(9.6)	1735(4.8)	175 9(4 9)	
$O_{1} = C_{1} = O_{2}$	1718(21)	177 5(3 6)	173 6(4.1)	
$O_{3} = C_{3} = O_{3}$	177.0(2.1)	171 3(3 4)	176 1(3 1)	
$0s_1 - c_4 - 0_4$	178.0(2.3)	177.0(5.1)	178 6(3 8)	
$0_{2}-0_{5}-0_{5}$	178.1(2.2)	165.0(1.8)	169 7/3 5)	
$G_{2} - C_{6} - G_{6}$	170.4(2.3)	170 2/2 0)	171 5(4 6)	
$0s_2 - 0_7 - 0_7$	174.3(2.8)	170.3(3.5)		
$0_{3} - 0_{8} - 0_{8}$	178.9(2.3)	108.2(3.1)	105.0(4.4)	
053-29-09	170.3(2.4)	1730(3.4)	167 5/3 7)	
053-010-010	174.2(2.3)	172.0(5.8)	76 5(1 1)	
011-052-012			76.9(1.1)	
011-053-012			0.0(1.1)	
$0s_2 - 0_{11} - 0s_3$			95.7(1.5)	
052-012-053			117 0(2 6)	
0s2-011-C11			111.0(2.0)	
Os2-O12-C12			124.0(2.0)	
0s3-011-C11				
0s3-012-C12			121.5(2.8)	
011-052-05			100.8(1.5)	
012Os2C5			97.4(1.5)	
0 <sub>12</sub> 0s <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub>			95.0(1.4)	
011Os2C7			98.8(1.8)	
011-0s3-C8			96.7(1.7)	
0 <sub>12</sub> 0s <sub>3</sub> C <sub>8</sub>			33.3(1.7)	
012Os3C9			30.3(1.7)	
O <sub>11</sub> -Os <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>10</sub>			39.0(1.0)	
Os2S1Os3		73.1(0.3)		
Os2S1C11		111.2(1.3)		
			(to be co	ntinued)

TA	BL	E 5	• (	co	nt	in	ued	I)

Atoms	Os <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>10</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	Os3(CO)10SC2H3H	Os3(CO)10(OCH3	)2
S1-Os2-C5		92.7(1.7)		
S1-Os2-C7		94.1(1.4)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
S1-Os1-C8		97.3(1.3)		
S1-OS3-C10		93.9(1.3)		
SI-CII-CI2		109.0(2.8)	· · · · ·	
S1-052-055		53.8(0.2)		
S1-053-057		53.1(0.2)		

lengthening of the bonds Os(1)—Os(2) and Os(2)—Os(3) relative to the corresponding values in the other complexes and in  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$  [7]; no such effect is observed. But perhaps more conclusive evidence that the hydride ligands occupy bridging sites stems from the conformational arrangement of the carbonyl ligands in the three complexes, Fig. 1, 2 and 3 illustrate the close simularities in the overall molecular stereochemistries and we give a more quantitative discussion later. If, again, the hydride ligands were terminally bonding, the conformational relation between the three carbonyl ligands on each of Os(2) and Os(3) and the four carbonyl ligands on Os(1) would approximate those in  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$ , (Fig. 4) rather than that observed here.

The simple eighteen electron rule description of the metal—metal bonds has a counterpart in molecular orbital theory, either in a very simple form based entirely on symmetry considerations [8] or in a model [9] which, in principle at least, has claims to quantitativeness. An apparent difference btween the two models is the symmetry attached to the highest orbitals in eighteen electron complexes although both are indicative that the polycentric orbital is antibonding with respect to the metals. The simple symmetry based model has some additional virtue in commenting directly not only on the geometries of polynuclear



Fig. 1. H<sub>2</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>: atomic arrangement and labelling. Carbonyl groups are labelled 1, 2 · · · · · 10 to include Cl, O1: C2, O2 etc.



Fig. 2.  $H(SC_2H_5)Os_3(CO)_{10}$ : atomic arrangement and labelling. The molecular perspective is essentially that depicted in Fig. 1.

complexes of non transition elements but also on the reasons for 'dihedrality' in bridged metal—metal bond frameworks [10]; a matter which has been discussed much more fully and recently by Burdett [11]. Dihedral angles between the planes containing Os(1) Os(2) Os(3) and Os(2) S Os(3) are 76° and 63° and 70° for the corresponding planes defined by the metal atoms and Os(2) O(11) Os(3) and Os(2) O(12) Os(3) respectively; it would be surprising if these values were not representative of the situation in  $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$  when it is defined by neutron diffraction studies.



Fig. 3.  $(OCH_3)_2O_3(CO)_{10}$ : atomic arrangement and labelling. The molecular perspective is that of Fig. 1 and 2.



Fig. 4. The structure of  $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$  (and  $Os_3(CO)_{12}$ ) shown in essentially the same perspective, with respect to the metal framework, as in Fig. 1, 2 and 3.

# TABLE 6

INTRAMOLECULAR CONTACTS (A) RELATED TO THE QUESTION OF THE HYDRIDE ION POSITIONS IN  $H_2Os_3(CO)_{10}$ 

Molecule	Intramolec	ular atom i	pair contact		·	÷
(OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Os(CO) <sub>10</sub>	011C3	2.77	)			
	011C5	3.18	· 🕴			
	011C7	3.05	> mean conta	act 2.98 A		
	011C8	2.96	· ·			
	011C10	2.92	)			
	012C4	2.88	)			
	012C5	3.01				
	012C6	2.91	> mean conta	ict 2.93 A		
	012C8	2.92				
:	012C9	2.93	}			
	C1C9	3.32	C3C7	3.07		
	C1C10	3.19	C3C10	3.06		
	C2C6	3.26	C4C6	3.02		
	C2C7	3.17	C4C9	3.08		
H(S2C2H5)O53(CO)10	SC3	2.98)				
	SC5	3.11				
	SC7	3.14 n	nean contact	3.14 Å		
	SC8	3.29				
	SC10	3.17				
	C1C9	3.56	C3C7	3.56		
	C1C10	3.49	C3C10	3.29		
	C2C6	3.68	C4C6	2.91		
	C2C7	3.40	C4C6	2.86		
H2US3(CO)10	C1C9	3.52	C3C7	3.15		
	C1C10	3.50	C3 C10	3 16		
	C2C6	3.60	C4	3 1 2		
	C2C7	3.80	C4C9	3.13		Et al anti-

Table 6 summarises, in our view, the reasons for being quite certain about the assignment of hydride ligands to symmetrical bridging positions, in other words for accepting the view that the three complexes are isostructural in the general sense of ligand distribution over the metal polyhedron. The contacts established between the oxygen and sulphur atoms of the  $\mu$ -methoxy and -thiolato ligands and the carbonyl carbon atoms are very similar, the difference between mean contacts reflecting a small difference in van der Waals diameters. In the asymmetric complex, H(SEt)Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>, the longer contact distances (C(3)...C(7) and C(3)...C(10)) are again reflective of relative van der Waals diameters (vide C(4)...C(6) and C(4)...C(9)). The carbonyl groups 6 and 7, together with 9 and 10, have in H<sub>2</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> 'leaned' towards the carbonyl ligands 2 and 1 compared with the situation in (OMe)<sub>2</sub>Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub> and, to a lesser extent, with that in H(SEt)Os<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>10</sub>. Again small steric effects between the bridging ligands and the axial carbonyl ligands, 3 and 4, are obvious from the results.

We have commented quantitatively [12] on the proposal that the structures of polynuclear carbonyls are determined by secondary valence forces between the carbonyl ligands [4]. It is pretty obvious that unless something even as simple as the one electron orbital energies for the metal—ligand bonds are taken into account, no structural model for the polynuclear carbonyls can have plausibility; it does seem that factorisation of the total energy into, on the one hand, the one electron molecular orbital energies and, on the other, secondary valence interactions could be adequate for discussing both the static and dynamic structures but convincing methodology is not to hand.

#### Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the S.R.C. for support.

#### References

- 1 J. Lewis and B.F.G. Johnson, Pure Appl. Chem., 44 (1975) 43.
- 2 R. Mason, Isr. J. Chem., in press and references therein.
- 3 R. Mason, XXIII Int. Congr. Pure Appl. Chem. (Boston), 6 (1971) 21.
- 4 B.F.G. Johnson, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1976) 211.
- 5 P. Chini, G. Longoni and V.G. Albano, Adv. Organometall. Chem., 14 (1976) 285.
- 6 for example, F.A. Cotton, Acc. Chem. Res., 2 (1969) 240.
- 7 E.R. Corey and L.F. Dahl, Inorg. Chem., 1 (1962) 521, see also R. Mason and A.I.M. Rae, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1968) 778.
- 8 R. Mason and D.M.P. Mingos, J. Organometal. Chem., 50 (1973) 53.
- 9 B.K. Teo, M.B. Hall, R.F. Fenske and L.F. Dahl, J. Organometal. Chem., 70 (1974) 413.
- 10 R. Mason and D.M.P. Mingos, Int. Rev. Sci. Phys. Chem. Ser. II, 11 (1975) 121.
- 11 J.K. Burdett, J. Chem. Soc. Dalt. Trans., (1977) 423.
- 12 P.B. Hitchcock, R. Mason and H.M. Textor, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1976) 1047.